## Message Text

## LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 ANKARA 06829 140641Z ACTION DLOS-09

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-13 ISO-00 ACDA-07 AGRE-00 AID-05 CEA-01 CEQ-01 CG-00 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 DOTE-00 EB-08 EPA-01 ERDA-05 FMC-01 TRSE-00 H-01 INR-07 INT-05 JUSE-00 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 NSF-01 OES-07 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-05 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 FEA-01 /125 W

-----087747 140932Z/20

R 140555Z SEP 77

FM AMEMBASSY ANKARA TO SECSTATE WASHDC 8848

INFO USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY NICOSIA

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMCONSUL ADANA

AMCONSUL ISTANBUL

AMCONSUL IZMIR

USDOCOLANDSOUTHEAST IZMIR

USDOCOSOUTH NAPLES

USCINCEUR

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE ANKARA 6829

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PFOR, PLOS, TU

SUBJECT: TURKISH VIEWS ON LAW OF THE SEA NEGOTIATIONS

1. DURING VISIT BY EMBOFF, RIZA TURMEN, MFA SECTION
CHIEF FOR LOS AND AEGEAN QUESTIONS, EXPRESSED HIS PLEASURE
OVER AMB. RICHARDSON'S PUBLIC CRITICISM OF THE NEGOTIATING
AND DRAFTING PROCEDURES OF THE LOS CONFERENCE (PARTICULARLY
COMMITTEE I). TURMEN, WHO WAS A MEMBER OF THE TURKISH
DELEGATION AT BOTH THE CARACAS AND NEW YORK LOS SESSIONS,
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 ANKARA 06829 140641Z

SAID THAT THE TURKS HAVE BEEN COMPLAINING FOR YEARS ABOUT THE ARBITRARY MANNER IN WHICH COMMITTEE CHAIRMEN ARE ALLOWED TO CONTROL DRAFTING OF PROVISIONS, AND IT WAS GRATIFYING TO HEAR SOMEONE ELSE MAKING THE SAME COMPLAINTS.

2. TURMEN STATED THAT THE PRESENT TEXT ("INFORMAL COMPOSITE NEGOTIATING TEXT" -- ICNT) OF THE LOS TREATY IS UNACCEPTABLE

TO TURKEY AS REGARDS ITS PROVISIONS ON DEMARCATION OF THE SEABED AND THE EXCLUSIVE ECONOMIC ZONE, AND THAT CONSEQUENTLY IT WAS IMPOSSIBLE FOR TURKEY TO ACCEPT COMPULSORY JURISDICTION BY THE PROPOSED LOS TRIBUNAL OVER DEMARCATION DISPUTES. HE SAID THAT IT WAS INCONCEIVABLE THAT TURKEY SHOULD ACCEPT VIA COMPULSORY JURISDICTION THE PRINCIPLES THAT SHE HAD REJECTED DURING THE LOS NEGOTIATIONS. HE SAID THAT TURKEY CONTINUES TO FIND UNACCEPTABLE THE TREATY'S PROVISIONS ON TREATMENT OF ISLANDS; HE NOTED, HOWEVER, THAT HE EXPECTS TO SEE FAVORABLE REVISIONS OF THE DRAFT PROVISIONS IN THE NEXT NEGOTIATING SESSION, ON THE BASIS OF THE RECENT ARBITRATION DECISION ON THE DEMARCATION OF CONTINENTAL SHELF RIGHTS IN THE ENGLISH CHANNEL AND THE CELTIC SEA

3. TURMEN SUGGESTED THAT PERHAPS THE TIME HAS COME FOR THE U.S. TO RECONSIDER ITS INSISTENCE ON ONE ALL-ENCOMPASSING LAW OF THE SEA TREATY AND CONSIDER SETTLING FOR A SERIES OF SPECIALIZED CONVENTIONS INSTEAD, ON THE GROUNDS THAT A TREATY WHICH TOO MANY NATIONS FOUND UNACCEPTABLE BECAUSE OF ONE PROVISION OR ANOTHER "WOULD BECOME JUST ANOTHER GENEVA CONVENTION" (I.E., WOULD END UP UNRATIFIED AND IGNORED BY A LARGE NUMBER OF COUNTRIES). THE GOAL, HE SAID, SHOULD NOT BE A TREATY PER SE, BUT A NEW REGIME OF THE SEAS, WHICH WOULD HAVE TO OBTAIN WIDE ACCEPTANCE. HE THOUGHT A TREATY ALONG THE LINES OF THE PRESENT TEXT WOULD BE REJECTED BY FIFTY OR MORE COUNTRIES. THE NEGOTIATIONS HAVE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 ANKARA 06829 140641Z

NOW REACHED THE POINT, HE SAID, WHERE COUNTRIES LIKE TURKEY MUST START FORMULATING THE RESERVATIONS WHICH THEY MUST ATTACH TO ANY ACCEPTANCE OF THE TREATY, IF THEY ARE TO ACCEPT IT AT ALL.

4. NOTE: IN PREVIOUS CONVERSATION WITH EMBOFF, TURMEN HAD EXPRESSED VIEW THAT, WHILE ICNT'S PROVISIONS ON DEMARCATION ARE UNACCEPTABLE, THE TREND ON THIS ISSUE HAD SEEMED FAVORABLE TO TURKISH GOALS AND LEFT ROOM FOR THE TURKS TO HOPE EVENTUALLY TO ACHIEVE MORE FAVORABLE PROVISIONS. THIS TREND HAS BEEN CITED AS ONE OF THE FACTORS (THOUGH A MINOR ONE) WHICH COULD CONCEIVABLY LESSEN GREEK INSISTENCE ON A JURIDICAL SOLUTION OF THE AEGEAN DISPUTE.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Margaret P. Grafeld	Declassified/Released	US Department of State	EO Systematic Review	22 May 2009

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X

Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: LAW OF THE SEA, GOVERNMENT REACTION, NEGOTIATIONS

Control Number: n/a

Copy: SINGLE Sent Date: 14-Sep-1977 12:00:00 am Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am

Decaption Note:

Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Date: 22 May 2009 Disposition Event:

Disposition Event:
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977ANKARA06829
Document Source: CORE

**Document Unique ID: 00** 

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A

Errors: N/A **Expiration:** 

Film Number: D770332-1268

Format: TEL From: ANKARA

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770967/aaaaceeo.tel

Line Count: 108 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 58e4e446-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Office: ACTION DLOS

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 2
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a

Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: n/a Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags: Review Date: 11-Mar-2005 12:00:00 am

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 1284726 Secure: OPEN

Status: NATIVE Subject: TURKISH VIEWS ON LAW OF THE SEA NEGOTIATIONS

TAGS: PFOR, PLOS, PGOV, TU

To: STATE Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/58e4e446-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009